

Results from Recent Projects to Drive Electric Utility Infrastructure (EUI) Efficiency in Minnesota

September 10, 2019





- Attendees are muted
- Questions? Enter them in the question box
- Webinar will be recorded and sent out after



About MEEA

The Trusted Source on Energy Efficiency

We are a nonprofit membership organization with 160+ members, including:

- Utilities
- Research institutions
- State and local governments
- Energy efficiency-related businesses

As the key resource and champion for energy efficiency in the Midwest,

MEEA helps a diverse range of stakeholders understand and implement cost-effective energy efficiency strategies that provide economic and environmental benefits.



GDS Associates, Inc. ENGINEERS

Electric Utility Infrastructure Efficiency Projects in Minnesota



September 10, 2019 Presented by: Travis Hinck, PE, CEM – Project Manager

EUI Efficiency Studies

AGENDA
Overview
Background
Projects
Findings/Outcomes
Takeaways
Resources
Q&A



Three projects to examine infrastructure efficiency were recently completed in Minnesota

• Technical Reference Manual EUI measures

EUI Potential Study

 Stakeholder Engagement Process and Action Plan
 Key today: What can this mean for other Midwest States?

EUI Efficiency Studies

AGENDA
Overview
Background
Projects
Findings/Results
Takeaways
Resources
Q&A

Quick Energy Efficiency Refresher

- Driven by state-level statutory conservation goals
 - In Minnesota, Conservation Improvement Program (CIP)
- Incent ratepayers to buy more-efficient end-use equipment
- Examples include: LED lighting, VFDs, recommissioning, etc.
- Almost exclusively focused on demand-side programs
- Utilities dedicate significant staff/budget to energy efficiency



Definition of Electric Utility Infrastructure (EUI)

- EUI is Electric Utility Infrastructure
- Infrastructure is any equipment or facilities owned by a utility used to deliver electric energy to consumers
- Generation, Transmission, Distribution
- Everything upstream of the meter
- Also called supply-side



Minnesota's Conservation Improvement Program (CIP) is a long-term success AND Statute specifically allows utilities to count **Electric Utility Infrastructure** (EUI) efficiency toward their CIP goals

BUT CIP has been historically focused on the demand-side; very few EUI projects

SO Commerce (our client) embarked on a series of projects to leverage existing, effective CIP tools to drive EUI efficiency implementation in Minnesota

EUI Efficiency Studies

AGENDA
Overview
Background
Projects
Findings/Results
Takeaways
Resources
Q&A

Three Major EUI Projects



- Technical Reference Manual EUI measures
 - EUI Potential Study
- Stakeholder Engagement Process and Action Plan









- Estimate statewide potential for EUI efficiency
- Develop tools to help utilities capture EUI efficiency
- Clarify policy landscape surrounding EUI
- Reduce barriers

Ultimately: leverage existing conservation policy to drive implementation of Electric Utility Infrastructure efficiency

Potential Study Methodology

- Develop appropriate models based on TRM measures to estimate Generation and Transmission and Distribution potential
- Identify data required to populate the models and source(s) of data
- Collect required data from stakeholders
- Present findings in terms of technological, economic, and achievable EUI efficiency potential with recommendations to capture it

- Four large, open stakeholder meetings
- Presentations from experts in: technology, policy, and infrastructure design from a variety of perspectives
- Incorporate findings from stakeholder surveys and Potential Study
- Advisory Committee to help guide the process and set agendas
- Develop an Action Plan with recommendations for stakeholders

EUI Stakeholder Engagement Process (continued)



EUI Efficiency Studies

AGENDA
Overview
Background
Projects
Findings/Results
Takeaways
Resources
Q&A

TRM Measures

- Generation Heat Rate Improvements
- Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR)

Annual kWh Savings (Ref. 1)

• High Efficiency Transformers

- Low Loss Transmission/Distribution
 Conductors
- 28 additional adapted C/I measures

$$= 8,766 \times \left(\left[\left(\frac{Load_{peak}}{FLC_{base}} \right)^2 \times FLL_{base} \times LossFactor + NLL_{base} \right] - \left[\left(\frac{Load_{peak}}{FLC_{ee}} \right)^2 \times FLL_{ee} \times LossFactor + NLL_{ee} \right] \right)$$

Peak kW Savings

$$= \left[\left(\frac{Load_{peak}}{FLC_{base}} \right)^2 \times FLL_{base} + NLL_{base} \right] - \left[\left(\frac{Load_{peak}}{FLC_{ee}} \right)^2 \times FLL_{ee} + NLL_{ee} \right]$$

- Achievable EUI conservation in MN is approximately 0.13% of utilities' forecasted annual electric retail sales 2020-2039
 - Approximately 106,465 MWh annually on average statewide
 - Or, approximately 9% of Minnesota's electric conservation goals
- Technical EUI potential is approximately 0.29% of sales

EUI efficiency potential is large enough that utilities should pursue it as part of their CIP portfolio, but not so large to significantly displace demand-side activities

Potential Study Results

Table 4-19 Total Statewide Conservation Potential 2020-2039

	Generation	T&D	Total
Technical Conservation Potential	1,399,850	3,248,092	4,647,942
Economic Conservation Potential	786,782	2,515,143	3,301,925
Achievable Conservation Potential	786,782	1,342,519	2,129,301

Figure 4-2 Achievable Potential for Conservation by Generation Technology



Cumulative MWh



Figure 4-7 Cumulative Achievable T&D Potential

■ IOUs ■ Co-ops ■ Munis

Stakeholder Findings



- Four large stakeholder meetings
- Expert presentations
- Incorporate findings from stakeholder surveys and the Potential Study
- Develop an Action Plan with recommendations for stakeholders

Speaker	Organization	Title	Торіс
Ron Schoff	Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)	Senior Program Manager	Emerging grid technologies
Lisa Severson	Minnkota Power Cooperative	Energy Conservation Coordinator	Successful EUI efficiency projects in Minnesota
Rich Sedano	Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP)	President and CEO	Similar regulatory initiatives across the country
Mary Santori	Xcel Energy	Manager, Distribution System Planning & Strategy	Current distribution system planning process
Jeff Haase	Great River Energy	Leader, Member Technology & Innovation	Impacts of policy changes from cooperative utility perspective
Kevin Lawless	The Forward Curve	Principal	Metrics measuring EUI efficiency improvements
Joe Paladino	U.S. Department of Energy	Senior Advisor	Grid Modernization
Niels Malskær	Danish Embassy	Commercial Advisor	Importance of data-driven grid modernization
Anthony Fryer	MN Department of Commerce	CIP Coordinator	CIP and policy guidance
Greg Anderson	Otter Tail Power	Energy Efficiency Engineer	Rural utility perspectives on EUI
Tricia DeBleeckerer	MN Public Utilities Commission	Commission Staff	Minnesota's grid modernization initiatives
Nancy Lange	MN Public Utilities Commission	Commissioner	Minnesota's grid modernization and distribution planning initiatives
David Townley	CTC Global	Director of Public Affairs	Efficient transmission and distribution conductors
Jose Medina	OATI	VP of Smart Grid Development	Conservation Voltage Reduction applications and case studies

Action Plan

- Total of 17 unique barriers to EUI implementation identified
- Recommendations for Stakeholders
 - 15 major recommendations with 29 sub-recommendations
 - Future consensus items recommended for continued discussion
 - Collected tools developed to help utilities assess and plan EUI projects
- Addresses barriers to implementing EUI efficiency projects
- Summarizes stakeholder discussions for reference
- Final version published in May

	Apply excel-based high-level screening tools on Commerce website
Technical	Refer to the TRM for standardized EUI measures
	Conduct internal, utility-specific assessments of EUI potential
	Connect infrastructure design teams with CIP personnel
Awareness	Review EUI Potential Study results
	Reach out to Commerce with ideas or questions
	Combine EUI efficiency with overlapping Grid Modernization efforts
Planning	Summarize findings to pass along to related, ongoing efforts
Process	Continue discussions of long-term vision for EUI within CIP
Process	Establish a repository for EUI project information
	Review EUI Potential Study further recommendations
Policies	Review EUI policy guidance documents issued by Commerce
Policies	Clarify the large natural gas generation automatic CIP exemption clause
Cost	Discuss including some EUI projects in the performance incentive
Recovery	Streamline the cost recovery rider

Screening Tools



CVR High-Level Project Screening Tool



Purpose: This tool is designed to evaluate possible Conservation Voltage Reduction projects in terms of estimating energy savings and cost effectiveness The calculator tools were created to lower the barrier to implementing EUI projects by providing a starting point for utilities to consider whether projects are worth pursuing

Disclaimers: All projects require approval from the Minnesota Department of Commerce in order to claim Conservation Improvement Program savings This tool is not meant to be rigorous engineering design or financial planning resources

Important Notes for using this measure

- Claiming savings for CVR projects toward CIP goals is a two-step process. In the first year, the utility can claim estimated savings. In the second year the utility can claim the actual measured savings (subtract the claimed estimated savings in year one)

- This tool only uses the first year estimated saving method. A more detailed calculation is necessary to determine measured savings

- The Utility Test calculation uses the full estimated annual savings to calculate cost benefit despite the fact the actual claimed CIP savings in year one has to be adjusted

- Refer to the "TRM Measures" tab for the full TRM Measure and more complete description of the measure (highly recommended)

Savings Calculation Input values	
20,000,000	PreEnergy (kWh) - Annual energy delivered to the VCZ
1.0%	EstimatedPercentVR - Estimated percent reduction in source voltage
<20%	Percentage of load used for electric space heating - if known
20-40%	Percentage of load used for mechanical air conditioning - if known

	Cost Benefit Calculation Input values	
\$	10,000	Estimated incremental project cost (\$)
\$	35.00	Avoided cost of electricity (\$/MWh). See below for details
3	3.5%	Estimated annual growth rate of avoided cost of electricity
2	2018	Year of project completion
	15	Estimated lifetime of project (years)
		Default lifetime for CVD projects is 15 years



Long-Term Vision



28

Long-Term Vision



29

EUI Efficiency Studies

AGENDA
Overview
Background
Projects
Findings/Results
Takeaways
Resources
Q&A

In principle, all findings from these projects can broadly apply anywhere beyond Minnesota with minimal adjustments...

under one, very important condition

Statute specifically allows utilities to count Electric Utility Infrastructure (EUI) efficiency toward their Conservation Improvement Plan (CIP) goals in Minnesota...



Answer this question: Does your state allow infrastructure projects to count toward established energy efficiency goals?

MEEA Map - Infrastructure Eligibility





- Step 1: Answer the Big Question does your state allow infrastructure projects to count toward established energy efficiency goals?*
- Step 2: If yes, all findings from these projects can broadly apply anywhere beyond Minnesota with minimal adjustments
- Step 3 (optional): Reply back and help fill in the gray areas in the MEEA map from the previous slide

*This may not be immediately clear. Worth investigating – or working with stakeholders to clarify

EUI and Related Initiatives

GRID MODERNIZATION

Advanced Metering Infrastructure, Smart Grid, Robust Security, Microgrids, Renewable Integration, Improved Resiliency, Electric Vehicle Charging, Grid-Scale Battery Storage, Utility Infrastructure Efficiency, Dynamic Rate Structures, Smart Appliances, Internet of Things, Demand Control Management, Voltage Optimization, Data Analytics, Improved Load Forecasting, Real-Time Fault Detection, Integrated Distribution Planning, Improved Market Signals, Non-Energy Market Pricing, On-Site Storage, Informed Power Purchase Decisions, Retail Market Services, Hosting Capacity, iting Optimization, Etc. Etc. Etc. Etc. Etc.



- There is potential for infrastructure efficiency improvement
- Policy guidance and technical tools have been developed to capture that potential in Minnesota by adjusting and applying the proven, successful CIP framework
- EUI efficiency fits well with many current grid initiatives
- With one first step, most findings from Minnesota can be extrapolated to other jurisdictions

EUI Efficiency Studies

AGENDA
Overview
Background
Projects
Findings/Results
Takeaways
Resources
Q&A

Minnesota EUI Projects Resources



Minnesota EUI Projects Additional Resources

- Minnesota EUI Policy Guidance
 - EUI Project Review Process and Determining Normal Maintenance
 - <u>Claiming Energy Savings through EUI Improvements and the</u> <u>Energy Savings Carry Forward Provision</u>
- EUI Project Screening Tools

Excel spreadsheet high-level screening tool for EUI conservation projects also developed by GDS

• <u>Minnesota Technical Reference Manual v3.0</u> Includes calculations for EUI measures developed by GDS

EUI Efficiency Studies

AGENDA
Overview
Background
Projects
Findings/Results
Takeaways
Resources
Q&A





Travis Hinck Project Manager GDS Associates



SAVE THE DATE

NOVEMBER **13-14** Omaha, NE

2019 Midwest Building Energy Codes Conference

MEEA

SAVE THE DATE

SOLUTIONS CONFERENCE

2020 MIDWEST

FEBRUARY 26-28, 2020 CHICAGO, IL

Thank you!

Anna Connelly Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance aconnelly@mwalliance.org

